

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

CALIFORNIA



WWW.DLANC.COM

EMAIL: INFO@DLANC.COM

LIVABILITY COMMITTEE

HEERA KAPOOR
CHAIR

JENS MIDTHUN (EX-OFFICIO)
AMY MACK
REEYAN RAYNES
DEBBY ZHOU
PAYAS PARAB
UBEL PEREZ

DRAFT

DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL LIVABILITY COMMITTEE VIRTUAL SPECIAL MEETING AGENDA

Google Meets Online or By Telephone

Video call link: <https://meet.google.com/eeq-bhvr-gqw>

Or dial: (US) +1 315-965-2511 PIN: 168 355 234#

Wednesday, March 4th, 2026 - 6:30 PM

Contact: heera.kapoor@dlanc.com for more information

Si requiere servicios de traducción, favor de avisar al Concejo Vecinal 3 días de trabajo (72 horas) antes del evento. Por favor, contacte a la Secretaría Terin Ngo por correo electrónico terin.ngo@dlanc.com para avisar al Concejo Vecinal.

IN CONFORMITY WITH THE JANUARY 1, 2026 ENACTMENT OF CALIFORNIA SENATE BILL 707 (DURAZO) AND LA CITY COUNCIL FILE 23-1114, THIS DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED VIRTUALLY.

In the event of a disruption that prevents the eligible legislative body from broadcasting the meeting to members of the public using the call-in option or internet-based service option, or in the event of a disruption within the eligible legislative body's control that prevents members of the public from offering public comments using the call-in option or internet-based service option, the eligible legislative body shall take no further action on items appearing on the meeting agenda until public access to the meeting via the call-in option or internet-based service option is restored. Actions taken on agenda items during a disruption that prevents the eligible legislative body from broadcasting the meeting may be challenged pursuant to Section 54960.1. **California Government Code Section 54953.8(b)(3).**

The legislative body shall not require public comments to be submitted in advance of the meeting and shall provide an opportunity for the public to address the legislative body and offer comments in real time. **California Government Code Section 54953.8(b)(4).**

Notwithstanding Section 54953.3, an individual desiring to provide public comment through the use of an internet website, or other online platform, not under the control of the eligible legislative body, that requires registration to log in to a teleconference may be required to register as required by the third-party internet website or online platform to participate. **California Government Code Section 54953.8(b)(5),**

A legislative body that provides a timed public comment period for each agenda item shall not close the public comment period for the agenda item, or the opportunity to register, pursuant to paragraph (5), to provide public comment until that timed public comment period has elapsed.

California Government Code Section 54953.8(b)(6)(A),

A legislative body that does not provide a timed public comment period, but takes public comment separately on each agenda item, shall allow a reasonable amount of time per agenda item to allow public members the opportunity to provide public comment, including time for members of the public to register pursuant to paragraph (5), or otherwise be recognized for the purpose of providing public comment. **California Government Code Section 54953.8(b)(6)(B).**

Comments from the public on other matters not appearing on the agenda that are within the Committee's jurisdiction will be heard during the General Public Comment period. Please note that under the Brown Act, the Committee is prevented from acting on a matter that you bring to its attention during the General Public Comment period; however, the issue raised by a member of the public may become the subject of a future Committee meeting. Public comment is limited to 2 minutes per speaker unless adjusted by the presiding officer of the Committee.

Instructions on how to sign up for public comment will be given to listeners at the start of the meeting.

1. Call to Order / Roll Call 6:30PM 6 Present (P), 0 Absent (A)

Heera Kapoor (Chair)	P	Amy Mack	P
Jens Midthun (ex-officio)	P	Payas Parab	P
Reeyan Raynes	P	Ubel Perez	P
Debby Zhou	P		

2. General Public Comment

Comments from the public on agenda items will be heard only when the respective item is being considered. Comments from the public on other matters not appearing on the agenda that are within the Board's jurisdiction will be heard during the General Public Comment period. **Please note that under the Brown Act, the Board is prevented from acting on a matter that you bring to its attention during the General Public Comment period; however, the issue raised by a member of the public may become the subject of a future Board meeting.** Public comments are limited to two minutes per speaker with a total time of ten minutes. (The chair, depending on the number of speakers and time considerations, may change these parameters.) (20 min. cap).

None

3. Approval of minutes for [1/28/2026](#) special meeting

Motion: To approve the minutes

**Mover: Reeyan Seconder: Payas Action: Minutes approved
Committee vote: Y(6), N(0), Abstention,(0), Recusal(0)**

4. **Emergency Preparedness and Public Works update**

Reeyan: NC through Jens intervened proposal on 1st and Broadway Park to request continuance for public activation. NC able to negotiate provisions before any proposals that proponents present to NC for any activation. Joint Livability and PLUC meeting.

5. **Old Business (All items for discussion and possible action)**

- a. Pico Triangle updates: No updates
- b. Spring Street Park: Moving forward, discussion ongoing.
- c. DTLA [FilmLA Area Requirement](#) update: In communication with FilmLA to update provisions with film area requirements, which DLANC helped launch in early 2010s, getting feedback with area requirements. They are working on it and are very receptive to feedback.
- d. Virtual LAPD Downtown Public Safety/Community Policing Forum: We have a moderator - Marcus Lovingood, Founder of Broadway West. He did DLANC candidate forums and is a former board member. Will begin inviting public safety agencies, metro PD, Mayor's office, etc.

6. **New Business (All items for discussion and possible action)**

- a. Discussion and possible action to submit a Community Impact Statement on CF [23-1168](#): Speed Safety System / Policy / Impact Report / Locations / Public Information / AB 645 (Friedman-Santiago-Ting)

Supplemental Statement: DLANC requests that the Department of Transportation investigate additional camera placements on DTLA's one-way and north-south corridors. This refinement is necessary to provide a more representative analysis of speeding patterns in perceived high-risk areas.

Reeyan: Legislation passed in Sacramento allowing some cities to install speed cameras, the goal is for these cameras to be installed in areas with a history of collisions, deaths, injuries, or other issues related to speeding. In compliance with state law, the department of transportation released a report that identifies locations across the city. Education period and after that, tickets will be reviewed and drivers will receive citations. Looking at enacting policy and gathering public feedback. For downtown, many of these locations are near 7th street between Flower and Grand, San Pedro and 6th all of which have 25 mph speed limit.

Motion: in support of CIS 23-1168 in support with supplemental statement

Mover: Heera Seconder: Reeyan

Committee vote: Y(6), N(0), A(0)

Public Comment: Jens: We want to slow traffic down, 200-300 pedestrian deaths every year. Slowing cars down is also good for business.

Board Comment: Payas: Concern about sampling bias, and curious about focusing on lower foot traffic areas where speeding might occur. Suggests data is not capturing real risk.

Reeyan will provide language, DLANC requests DOT to further study more N/S streets and one way streets in downtown that are prone to speeding and red-light violations. Chair approves.

- b. Discussion and possible action to submit a Community Impact Statement on CF [14-0499-S6](#): Active Transportation Program (ATP) / Cycle 6 Call for Projects / State of California Department of Transportation / Grant Applications

Supplemental Statement: DLANC recognizes the constraints and challenging position that City departments and Public Works Bureaus face with respect to resources and staffing as they work to deliver projects amid the current fiscal and workforce reductions. However, DLANC opposes any cancellation of the Skid Row Connectivity and Safety Project. Instead, we urge Council District 14, in collaboration with City departments and the Public Works Bureaus, to explore options to simplify or reduce the scope of work and to value-engineer the project to ensure its feasibility and effective delivery.

Additionally, we request that the City seek an extension of the ATP grant from the California Transportation Commission before considering any return of awarded funding or termination of the Skid Row Connectivity and Safety Project.

Reeyan: DOT grant applications. Motion was written 2/15 to return money that was earmarked for three projects, skid row, boyle heights, \$100M between all of them. \$36M downtown. The motion is to return the money to the state to do a specific project from the 101 to the 10 the idea is to install bike lanes connecting 7th street connecting neighborhoods safety improvements for pinata district to widen sidewalks, cross walks, add pedestrian plaza to corner of 8th and San Pedro. NC worked with the council office and submitted letters.

Find ways to cut costs and deliver something rather than nothings. Get an extension rather than drop the project. CIS against a less amended CF.

Mover: Reeyan Secoder: Heera

Board Comment: Heera: Shocked that we are handling this way. Firmly in support of CIS.

Committee vote: Y(6), N(0), A(0)

- c. Discussion and possible action to submit a Community Impact Statement on CF [25-1509-S1](#): Keep Hollywood Home / Special Conditions Repeal / Unified Filming Framework / FilmLA

Supplemental Statement: DLANC supports citywide efforts to keep Los Angeles film-friendly and to help sustain the film industry amid ongoing production relocations. Downtown Los Angeles is home to many who work in the entertainment industry, from filmmakers and production designers to the custom shops and prop houses that help keep the industry moving. That said, we have concerns regarding the removal of existing Neighborhood Special Filming Conditions, particularly in communities like Downtown Los Angeles, which is disproportionately impacted by filming activity. Due to the unique nature of the Downtown community and the ongoing challenges resulting from the loss of economic activity, it is critical to strike a balance by ensuring DTLA remains film-friendly while also protecting the ability of residents, small businesses, and property owners to maintain normal operations and receive appropriate mitigations, especially during high-impact productions.

We support standardizing notification, survey, and monitoring requirements citywide, and strongly encourage transparency and meaningful outreach to Neighborhood Councils and other Community-Based Organizations. However, we oppose any efforts to “Exempting the Downtown area from ‘residential’ surveys based on land-use patterns.” While we support a consistent citywide filming conditions framework, it must not come at the expense of necessary protections in heavily impacted neighborhoods like Downtown. Any new framework should preserve the ability to implement site-specific or neighborhood-specific conditions that address issues unique to certain areas and may not be present elsewhere in the City.

Reeyan: This addresses special repeals unified filming framework and FilmLA. This motion was submitted as part of a larger package by CD2. CM was very interested in the film industry and addressing the decline in productions and seeing them move to other cities around the country. This motion addresses the way the city issues permits through non-profit FilmLA. When an entity wants to film in LA, they hire FilmLA and the issue permits, monitors and communicates with police departments. Industry has

criticized the process and the cost of filming in LA, they are looking at ways to reduce the burden. Motion wants to review and standardize throughout the city which also presents challenges. Public Comment: Linda: Attended hearing and was disappointed. Ysabel said she was going to present amendments and withdrew it. Part of the issue is understanding what this entails for us such as health hazards and addressing provisions. Lauren: Film Chair of Rowan Lofts, Afraid of impacts on city budget and if we don't hold productions accountable and addressing provisions.

Motion: Sending a conditional support letter saying DTLA is the hub for film but due to uniqueness here, we need to maintain some protections.

Mover: Reeyan Secoder: Heera

Action: Motion passes

Committee vote: Y(6) N(0)

7. **General Public Comment**

Comments from the public on agenda items will be heard only when the respective item is being considered. Comments from the public on other matters not appearing on the agenda that are within the Board's jurisdiction will be heard during the General Public Comment period. **Please note that under the Brown Act, the Board is prevented from acting on a matter that you bring to its attention during the General Public Comment period; however, the issue raised by a member of the public may become the subject of a future Board meeting.** Public comments are limited to two minutes per speaker with a total time of ten minutes. (The chair, depending on the number of speakers and time considerations, may change these parameters.) (20 min. cap).

Linda: Working with DTLA Alliance and DTLARA. Looking forward to the event and offering help on getting the word out.

8. **Committee member announcements**

9. **Next Meeting:** Wednesday, March 18, 2026 - 6:30pm

10. **Adjourn 7:55PM**

PUBLIC INPUT AT NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL MEETINGS: *The public is requested to fill out a "Speaker Card" to address the [committee] on any agenda item before the committee takes an action on an item. Comments from the public on agenda items will be heard only when the respective item is being considered. Comments from the public on other matters not appearing on the agenda that are within the committee's jurisdiction will be heard during the General Public Comment period. Please note that under the Brown Act, the committee is prevented from acting on a matter that you bring to its attention during the General Public Comment period; however, the issue raised by a member of the public may become the subject of a future committee meeting. Public comment is limited to 2 minutes per speaker, unless adjusted by the presiding officer.*

CONSENT ITEMS: *There will be no separate discussion of Consent items as they are considered routine and will be adopted by one motion. If a member of the Board, Committee, or a stakeholder requests discussion on a particular item, that item will be*

removed from Consent and considered separately. Such items will be moved to the end of the agenda.

NOTICE TO PAID REPRESENTATIVES: *If you are compensated to monitor, attend, or speak at this meeting, City law may require you to register as a lobbyist and report your activity. See Los Angeles Municipal Code §§ 48.01 et seq. More information is available at ethics@lacity.org/lobbying. For assistance, please contact the Ethics Commission at (213) 978-1960 or ethics.commission@lacity.org.*

PUBLIC ACCESS OF RECORDS: *In compliance with Government Code section 54957.5, non-exempt writings that are distributed to a majority or all of the board members in advance of a meeting may be viewed on our website by clicking on the following link: www.dlanc.com, or at the scheduled meeting. In addition, if you would like a copy of any record related to an item on the agenda, please contact the secretary, terin.ngo@dlanc.com.*

POSTING: *In compliance with Government Code section 54954.2(a), Neighborhood Council agendas are posted for public review at Department of Recreation & Parks - Pershing Square Office, 532 S. Olive and at www.dlanc.com. You can also receive our agendas via email by subscribing to L.A. City's Early Notification System at: <https://www.lacity.org/government/subscribe-agendasnotifications/neighborhood-councils>.*

RECONSIDERATION AND GRIEVANCE PROCESS: *For information on the DLANC's process for board action reconsideration, stakeholder grievance policy, or any other procedural matters related to this Council, please consult the DLANC Bylaws. The Bylaws are available at our Board meetings and our website www.dlanc.com.*

DISABILITY POLICY: *The Downtown Los Angeles Neighborhood Council complies with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and does not discriminate on the basis of any disability. Upon request, the Downtown Los Angeles Neighborhood Council will provide reasonable accommodations to ensure equal access to its programs, services, and activities. Sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure availability of services, please make your request at least 3 business days prior to the meeting you wish to attend by contacting the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at (213) 978-1551 or email NCsupport@lacity.org.*

STATE OF CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 403 (Amended by Stats, 1994, Ch. 923, Sec. 159. Effective January 1, 1995.)

—
Every person who, without authority of law willfully disturbs or breaks up any assembly or meeting that is not unlawful in its character, other than an assembly or meeting referred to in Section 302 of the Penal Code or Section 18340 of the Elections Code, is guilty of a misdemeanor.